

Total Cost of Ownership of Carrier-Grade NAT

Lee Howard

Lee@asgard.org

Introduction

- Does not reflect Time Warner Cable work
- Thought experiment: How to quantify the total cost of CGN?
 - CapEx
 - OpEx
 - Breakage
- What are the implications of that cost?

What Does CGN Cost?

\$70,000	CGN hardware
\$10,000	Logging systems
\$10,000	Software development
\$90,000	CAPEX per 10,000 users

\$10,000	Space, power, cooling, monitoring, maintenance, etc.
\$10,000	OPEX per 10,000 users

What Does CGN Cost?

For each 10,000 users, many have devices or applications that break behind CGN (draft-donley-nat444-impacts)

Use	Number of Potential Users	Number Affected	Number of Support Calls	Number of Lost Users
Xbox	2100	1050	262	262
PS3	1100	550	137	137
P2P	1500	1200	300	300
Netflix	1200	60	15	15
Misc.	800	800	200	200
	6,700	3,660	914	914

- For each 10,000 users:
- If support call cost is \$20, the increased support cost is
 $\$20 * 914 = \$18,280$.
- If (ARPU) is \$400/year, the total revenue lost to CGN is
 $\$400 * 914 = \$365,600$ per year.

Total Costs

Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
\$18,000	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$18,000	CAPEX (depreciation)
\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	OPEX
\$18,280	0	0	0	0	Customer support
\$365,600	\$365,600	\$365,600	\$365,600	\$365,600	Lost revenue
\$411,880	\$393,600	\$393,600	\$393,600	\$393,600	TOTAL: \$1,986,280

Conclusion#1

CGN costs \$2 million for every 10,000 users it's used for, or \$40 per user per year

Would it be cheaper to buy addresses?

- From \$12 - \$40 per address, IPv4 addresses look cheaper than CGN
- Above \$40, CGN is cheaper than each address

When is CGN too expensive?

- According to annual reports of major US ISPs, ARPU is \$400 for Internet access, and margin is about \$140 per user
- If you have to spend \$70 to make \$140, it's more profitable to sell addresses than to turn up customers
 - At least in the 1-year ROI
 - 5-year customer worth \$700; could prices reach \$350?

Conclusion #2

```
graph LR; A["$0 - $40  
Buy  
Addresses"] --> B["$40 - $70  
Deploy CGN"]; B --> C["$71 +  
CGN + Sell  
IPv4"]
```

\$0 - \$40
Buy
Addresses

\$40 - \$70
Deploy CGN

\$71 +
CGN + Sell
IPv4

Maybe CGN is okay for some people?

- IPv6 is coming RSN
- Web and email work fine through CGN
- How do you know who would be okay with CGN?
 - DPI
 - Customer self-selection

How will prices be affected?

- CGN costs \$40 per year more than old-fashioned Internet access
- Native IPv4 costs \$12-70 more than old-fashioned Internet access
- But wait—commercial companies don't sell anything at cost

Conclusion #3

Price before scarcity	Basic Internet (CGN)	Advanced Internet (status quo)
\$33/month \$400/year	\$37.83/month \$454/year	\$40.88/month Up to \$495/year

+13%

+21%

How far can we take this thought experiment?

- As an ISP runs out it must conserve IPv4 for the most profitable customers
- The rational ISP deploys IPv6 with CGN
- Can't change service until contract renewal
 - “Your contract term is ending, and we have new service tiers: Standard and Advanced”
 - “But here, we're giving you a new modem (which supports IPv6)”

Conclusion #4

- One \$contract_term after IPv4 runout, everyone will have IPv6.
- With ARIN run out mid-2013, the prudent ISP will make sure all of their customers and services are running IPv6 by the end of 2014.

Conclusions

1. CGN costs \$2 million over five years for every 10,000 users it's used for, or \$40 per user per year.



3.

Price before scarcity	Basic Internet (CGN)	Advanced Internet (status quo)
\$33/month	\$37.83/month	\$40.88/month
\$400/year	\$454/year	Up to \$495/year

4. The rational network will have 100% IPv6 by end of 2014.

Draw your own conclusions

Slides, spreadsheet, and paper available at
<http://www.asgard.org>